

New Mexico Music Educators Association

Instrumental Music Performance Assessment - SIGHT READING

School Name:			Sight-Reading Selecti	Sight-Reading Selection Information			
Performance Date:			(Title, Composer, UIL le	(Title, Composer, UIL level - if any, etc.)			
Perfo	ormance Time:						
Direc	tor Name:						
Ensemble Name:							
NMAA Classification (Circle): MS/JH 1A 2A 3A 4A 5A 6A							
District Number (Circle): 1 2 3 4 6 7							
	Ensemble Age/Experience	(Check):		gh School 🔲			
		Yo	ung Middle School 🗌 💮 Jr. High School 🔲 Young Hi	gh School 🗌			
Beginning Other (5-12 etc.) Specify							
Please award points for each performance attribute based on the frequency it is achieved (see scale on right), and add these numbers							
			total score. Then complete the "Other Factors" section by marking as you see fit. Finally, pur signature and adjudicator information on the bottom of the form.	lease add summary cor	mments as		
	ADJUDICATOR:						
			gning scores and comments, please keep in mind the differences in preparation time betwe nces. For example, interpretation of "Almost always appropriate" will differ when students				
		-	o the hours of preparation in a typical stage performance. Thank-you!				
	N A.L		Consul Bod on the Consult	Frequency	Points		
l '	Performance Attribute	Score	General Performance Comments	Descriptor	Awarded		
Technical Accuracy	Articulation		00	Almost Always	4.0		
				Appropriate	10		
				Consistently	_		
	Note Accuracy			Appropriate	9		
					_		
	Rhythm / Ens. Precision			Mostly	8		
				Appropriate			
Quality of Sound	Balance / Blend			Frequently	7		
	Balance / Biena			Appropriate	/		
	Interesion / Tuning			Occasionally	C		
	Intonation / Tuning		n n n	Appropriate	6		
				Infrequently	_		
	Tone Quality			Appropriate	5		
	Dynamics /			Rarely	_		
lity	Interpretation			Appropriate	4		
Musicality	merpretation		Space for additional comments on back				
Σ	Style / Phrasing			Minimally	3		
			Total Points to Final Ratings Conversion	Appropriate			
Process	Preparation		Total Score 100-90 I (A) Superior Total Score 89-80 II (B) Excellent	Sporadically	2		
	(During Sight Reading)		Total Score 79-70 III (C) Good	Appropriate	_		
	Pocovory		Total Score 69-60 IV (D) Fair	Almost Never	1		
	Recovery		Total Score 59-0 V (F) Unprepared	Appropriate	Т		
Performance Summary			Other Factors (Please Check bo	xes)			
	Total		This section does not apply to the final sc				
	Numerical		Appropriate	Not Approp	riate		
nanc	Numericai		1. Performance Deportment				
rforr	Score		2. Appearance				
Pe	50010		3. Efficient use of prep time				
	Adjudicator's Name (Print):		Date:		-		
	Adjudicator's Signature:		Judge No.		_		

Technical Accuracy (Consider articulation, rhythmic accuracy, ensemble precision and note accuracy.)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Quality of Sound (Consider balance, blend, intonation, tuning and tone quality.)
Musicality (Consider dynamics, interpretation, style and phrasing.)
Triasicantly (consider dynamics, interpretation, style and pinasing.)
Procedure (Consider annualist during sinks and income demand and annual
Procedure (Consider preparation during sight reading and error-recovery during performance.)
Other Factors (Consider the appropriateness of the selected reading repertoire for the given ensemble as well as performance deportment and appearance.)